	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/050,093	SU, SHUN-FUA
	Examiner	Art Unit
- (8) v.	Barbara J. Musser	1733
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Barbara J. Musser</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Tom Freiburger</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 February 2004 Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	*	
Claims discussed: 1 and 2		
Prior art documents discussed:	• • •	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant agreed to amend the claims to place the claims in the present tense, to fix confusing language, and to fix antecedent basis problems.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
	× .	
	;	
*	. *	
	*	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		